

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,855	03/02/2004	Cheol Woong Jung	CU-3598 WWP	3098
26530 7590 05/16/2007 LADAS & PARRY LLP 224 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			THANH, QUANG D	
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL	60604	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3771	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	·		05/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	۱	
1	H	
L	4	
7	ı	
	•	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan.	10/790,855	JUNG, CHEOL WOONG					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Quang D. Thanh	3771					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ma	arch 2004.						
<u> </u>	action is non-final.						
<u> </u>							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 4-12</u> is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
<u> </u>							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te					

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

- 2. The drawings are objected to because: lines, numbers and letters are not uniformly thick and well defined; and numbers and reference characters are not plain and legible for figure 11.
- 3. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,855

Art Unit: 3771

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is unclear as to which mechanism would cause each part in the upper body unit and the lower body unit to "rollably moving in <u>a top and bottom direction</u> with respect to the head to toe direction of the laid user; "rollably moving in <u>a slanted direction</u> with respect to the laid user"; and "rollably moving in <u>an arcuate direction</u> with respect to the laid user".
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear how "a **second** mechanical system connected to the **second** motor and another selected member of the rolling body support unit to translate the rotational motion of the **first** (?) motor into the up and down movements of the selected member".

Art Unit: 3771

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 1, as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson (4,890,604). Nelson discloses an apparatus (fig. 7), comprising: a base plate 122 (fig. 7); a rolling body support unit 144 (fig. 7) rollably connected to the surface of the base plate to accommodate a user lying with his back on the rolling body support unit, wherein the rolling body support unit 144 comprises: an upper body unit having a plurality of head and backbone supporting members 145/146 (fig. 7) connected to each other by suitable means (col. 6, lines 48-52) and having a plurality of wheels 153 contacting the base plate 122 (fig. 7-8), the upper body unit capable of receiving the head and the back of the user; and a lower body unit 147/148 (fig. 7) capable of receiving the hip and the legs and having a plurality of wheels 153 contacting the base plate 122 (fig. 7-8), wherein each part in the upper body unit and the lower body unit is movable in at least one of the directions including: rollably moving in a top and bottom direction with respect to the head to toe direction of the laid user (fig. 7, col. 6, lines 56-58). Nelson discloses that the supporting members 145/146 (fig. 7) are connected to each other by suitable means but does not explicitly disclose the connecting means being an elastic member. However, Official notice is taken that it is

Art Unit: 3771

well known in the art to use elastic member for providing connecting two structures together. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include an elastic strap as a suitable connecting means in order to interconnect the supporting members together.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claim 3 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Claims 2 and 4-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Schaeffer discloses an automated therapy table. Goebel discloses a therapeutic device for treating paravertebrae.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang D. Thanh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4982. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday & alternate Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,855

Art Unit: 3771

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The Central FAX phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for all communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Quang D. Thanh

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3771 (571) 272-4982